Galore International Journal of Health Sciences and Research
[Indexed, Peer-Reviewed Journal]
Vol. 10; Issue: 2; April-June 2025
Website: www.gijhsr.com
P-ISSN: 2456-9321
Review Article

Optimizing Patient Care: Exploring the Impact of Clinical Decision Support Systems in Healthcare

Dr. Harjeet Singh

Associate Professor, P.G. Dept. Of Computer Science, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab

Corresponding Author: Dr. Harjeet Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/gijhsr.20250201

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the role of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) in modern healthcare settings. It examines the significance of CDSS in enhancing clinical decision-making, improving patient outcomes, and optimizing healthcare delivery. The paper reviews the current state of CDSS technology, discusses its applications across different healthcare domains, and evaluates its impact on healthcare quality, safety, and efficiency. Additionally, it explores challenges in CDSS research and implementation.

Keywords: Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS), Patient Outcomes, CDSS Impact, Technology in Healthcare, CDSS Applications

INTRODUCTION

A clinical decision support system (CDSS) is a computer-based tool designed to assist healthcare professionals in making clinical decisions by providing relevant information and recommendations based on patient data and medical knowledge. The key components and functionalities of a typical CDSS are

Data Integration: CDSS integrates patient data from various sources such as electronic health records (EHRs), laboratory results, imaging studies, and patient demographics. It aggregates this information to provide a comprehensive view of the patient's health status.

Knowledge Base: A CDSS incorporates a knowledge base comprising medical guidelines, protocols, best practices, clinical pathways, and evidence-based literature. This knowledge base is continuously updated with the latest medical research and recommendations.

Inference Engine: The inference engine is the core component of the CDSS responsible for processing patient data and medical knowledge to generate recommendations or alerts. It uses algorithms, rules, and logic to analyze patient data and provide relevant information to clinicians.

Decision Support Rules: CDSS employs decision support rules that are based on clinical guidelines, expert opinions, and institutional protocols. These rules are used to trigger alerts, suggest interventions, or provide recommendations tailored to the patient's condition.

Alerts and Reminders: CDSS generates alerts and reminders to notify healthcare providers about critical findings, potential drug interactions, overdue preventive screenings, or deviations from established care protocols. These alerts help clinicians stay informed and adhere to evidence-based practices.

Clinical Pathways: CDSS can support the implementation of clinical pathways or care protocols for specific medical conditions or procedures. It guides clinicians through standardized workflows, ensuring consistent and high-quality care delivery.

Clinical Documentation: Some CDSS solutions facilitate clinical documentation by automatically populating electronic forms with relevant patient data, assessment findings, and recommended actions. This streamlines the documentation process and reduces the burden on healthcare providers.

Feedback and Learning: CDSS systems may incorporate feedback mechanisms to capture clinician responses and outcomes following the implementation of recommendations. This feedback loop allows the system to learn and improve over time, refining its recommendations based on real-world data and user feedback.

Interoperability: CDSS systems often support interoperability standards to exchange data with other healthcare IT systems seamlessly. This interoperability ensures that the CDSS can access relevant patient information from disparate sources and collaborate with other clinical tools and systems.

EVOLUTION OF CDSS TECHNOLOGY AND ITS ADOPTION IN HEALTHCARE

The evolution of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) technology and its adoption in healthcare have undergone significant transformations over the past few decades. Here's an overview of the key stages in this evolution:

Early Development (1960s-1980s): The earliest forms of decision support systems emerged in the 1960s and 1970s, primarily focusing on business and management applications. In healthcare, rudimentary CDSS prototypes were developed to assist clinicians with simple tasks such as medication dosing calculations and diagnostic decision-making. These early systems were often rule-based and relied on static knowledge bases to generate recommendations or alerts based on predefined rules.

Rule-Based Systems (1980s-1990s): In the 1980s and 1990s, CDSS technology evolved with the introduction of rule-based systems. Rule-based CDSS utilized if-then logic to interpret clinical data, trigger alerts, and provide recommendations to healthcare providers. These systems were designed to assist clinicians in adhering to clinical guidelines, protocols, and best practices.

Knowledge-Based Systems (1990s-2000s): Knowledge-based CDSS expanded in the 1990s with the incorporation of more sophisticated knowledge representation and reasoning techniques. These systems integrated medical knowledge from various sources, including clinical guidelines, textbooks, research literature, and expert opinions, into a structured knowledge base. Knowledge-based CDSS could perform more complex reasoning tasks, such as differential diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient risk stratification.

Integration with Electronic Health Records (EHRs) (2000s-present): The widespread adoption of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) in healthcare settings facilitated the integration of CDSS into clinical workflows. CDSS platforms began to interface with EHR systems, enabling real-time access to patient data, laboratory results, imaging studies, and medication histories. This integration enhanced the usability and accessibility of CDSS, allowing clinicians to receive decision support within their existing workflow and documentation processes.

Advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (2010s-present): In recent years, advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have revolutionized CDSS technology. AI-powered CDSS leverage advanced algorithms, including neural networks, deep learning, and natural language processing, to analyze complex clinical data and generate personalized recommendations. These systems can extract insights from large datasets, identify patterns, and predict patient outcomes with higher accuracy than traditional rule-based approaches.

Mobile and Cloud-Based Solutions (2010s-present): The proliferation of mobile devices and cloud computing has led to the development of mobile and cloud-based CDSS solutions. Mobile CDSS applications allow clinicians to access decision support tools from smartphones, tablets, or other portable devices, enhancing flexibility and mobility in healthcare delivery. Cloud-based CDSS platforms offer scalability, interoperability, and real-time updates, enabling seamless integration with multiple healthcare systems and facilitating collaborative decision-making among care team members.

Overall, the evolution of CDSS technology has been driven by advancements in computing, artificial intelligence, and data analytics, as well as the growing demand for evidence-based practice and quality improvement in healthcare. As CDSS continues to evolve, its adoption is expected to expand across various healthcare settings, contributing to improved clinical outcomes, patient safety, and healthcare efficiency.

S. No	Type	Example	Components	Role
1.	Early Knowledge-Based CDSS	MYCIN (1970s): One of the earliest CDSS, developed for diagnosing bacterial infections and recommending antibiotics based on rule-based logic. EMYCIN (1980s): An extended version of MYCIN, used in the context of emergency medicine.	Knowledge Base: Contains medical knowledge, guidelines, and rules. Inference Engine: Applies rules and logical operations to the knowledge base. User Interface: Allows healthcare providers to input patient data and receive recommendations.	Provided rule-based recommendations for diagnosis and treatment based on structured medical knowledge. Aimed at supporting clinicians with established guidelines and protocols.
2	Early Non-Knowledge-Based CDSS	PRODIGY (1990s): A CDSS that used data mining and statistical analysis to support clinical decision-making, particularly in chronic disease management.	Data Sources: Collects and integrates patient data and historical records. Algorithm Engine: Uses statistical models and early machine learning techniques to analyze data. User Interface: Presents predictions or insights derived from data analysis.	Focused on predicting patient outcomes and assessing risks using statistical models rather than explicit medical rules. Aimed at enhancing decision-making through data-driven insights.
3	Integrated CDSS	Epic Systems (2000s): Incorporates various decision support tools, such as drug interaction alerts and clinical reminders, into its EHR system. Cerner Millennium (2000s): Features integrated decision support for clinical guidelines and preventive care reminders.	Electronic Health Record (EHR) Integration: Embedded within EHR systems to access real-time patient data. Decision Support Modules: Tools and features integrated into the EHR system that provide alerts, reminders, and recommendations. User Interface: Part of the EHR interface, facilitating seamless interaction with decision	Provides real-time support within the EHR environment, offering alerts and reminders based on current patient data. Enhances clinical workflows by integrating decision support into daily practice.

			support features.	
4	Stand-Alone CDSS	BM Watson for Oncology (2010s): Uses AI to analyze patient data and provide oncology treatment recommendations, operating as a stand-alone system that can be used alongside EHRs. Radiology Imaging Analysis Tools (2010s): Tools like Aidoc and Zebra Medical Vision analyze medical images to assist in diagnosis.	Decision Support Software: Operates independently from EHR systems. Data Input Interfaces: Allows manual data entry or integration from external sources. Decision Algorithms: Analyzes data to provide recommendations or perform specialized tasks.	Provides specialized decision support that may not be integrated with EHR systems, often focusing on specific areas of clinical practice. Serves niche functions such as imaging analysis or specific drug dosing.
5	Patient-Specific CDSS	FoundationOne (2010s): Provides genomic profiling to personalize cancer treatment plans based on individual genetic profiles. IBM Watson Genomics (2010s): Analyzes genetic data to assist in creating personalized treatment plans.	Personalized Data Collection: Integrates individual patient data such as genetic information and health history. Personalized Decision Algorithms: Tailors recommendations based on patient-specific data. User Interface: Provides personalized insights and recommendations to healthcare providers.	Delivers personalized recommendations and treatment plans tailored to individual patient characteristics, enhancing precision medicine. Aims to improve outcomes by considering unique aspects of each patient's health.
6	Population-Based CDSS	Public Health Surveillance Systems (2010s): Systems like HealthMap use population data to monitor disease outbreaks and predict health trends. CDC's National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS): Collects and analyzes data on notifiable diseases to support public health responses.	Population Data Sources: Aggregates data from large populations to identify trends and patterns. Analytical Tools: Uses statistical methods and data analytics to interpret trends. User Interface: Provides insights and recommendations relevant to public health.	Analyzes data across populations to provide insights that can influence public health decisions and policy development. Supports efforts in disease prevention and health promotion at the population level.

IMPACT OF CDSS ON HEALTHCARE

Numerous studies have investigated the impact of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) on healthcare quality, safety, and efficiency. Here is a summary of some key findings from empirical evidence:

Improvement in Clinical Outcomes:

A meta-analysis published in the Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association (JAMIA) in 2012 found that CDSS interventions were associated with significant improvements in clinical outcomes, including medication adherence, disease control, and patient mortality rates.

Another study published in BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making in 2019 demonstrated that CDSS for diabetes management led to improvements in glycemic control, reduction in HbA1c levels, and decreased risk of diabetes-related complications.

Reduction in Medical Errors and Adverse Events:

Research published in the Journal of General Internal Medicine in 2018 showed that CDSS interventions reduced medication errors by providing real-time alerts for drug-drug interactions, allergy contraindications, and dosing errors, thereby enhancing patient safety.

A systematic review and meta-analysis published in BMJ Quality & Safety in 2017 found that CDSS for diagnostic decision support reduced diagnostic errors and improved diagnostic accuracy across various medical specialties.

Enhanced Adherence to Clinical Guidelines:

A study published in JAMIA in 2016 demonstrated that CDSS interventions increased adherence to evidence-based clinical guidelines for preventive care and chronic disease management, leading to improved quality of care and patient outcomes.

Research published in the Journal of the American College of Cardiology in 2019 showed that CDSS for cardiovascular risk assessment improved adherence to lipid management guidelines and reduced cardiovascular events in high-risk patients.

Efficiency Gains and Cost Savings:

A systematic review published in Health Policy and Technology in 2018 reported that CDSS interventions improved healthcare efficiency by reducing unnecessary diagnostic tests, hospital admissions, and healthcare resource utilization, resulting in cost savings.

A study published in JAMA Internal Medicine in 2015 demonstrated that CDSS for antimicrobial stewardship reduced inappropriate antibiotic prescribing, shortened hospital length of stay, and decreased healthcare costs associated with antimicrobial-resistant infections.

Provider Satisfaction and Workflow Integration:

Research published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research in 2017 showed that CDSS interventions improved provider satisfaction by enhancing access to clinical information, reducing cognitive workload, and supporting evidence-based decision-making at the point of care.

A study published in the International Journal of Medical Informatics in 2020 found that CDSS integration into electronic health record systems improved workflow efficiency, reduced documentation burden, and enhanced care coordination among interdisciplinary care teams.

APPLICATIONS OF CDSS ACROSS VARIOUS HEALTHCARE DOMAINS

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) have diverse applications across various healthcare domains, spanning from diagnosis and treatment to preventive care and population health management. Here are some examples of CDSS applications in different healthcare domains:

Diagnostic Decision Support: CDSS assists healthcare providers in diagnosing diseases and medical conditions by analyzing patient symptoms, medical history, and diagnostic test results.

Example: CDSS for radiology helps radiologists interpret medical imaging studies (e.g., X-rays, MRIs, CT scans) and identify abnormalities or lesions indicative of diseases such as cancer, fractures, or neurological disorders.

Therapeutic Decision Support: CDSS provides recommendations for selecting appropriate treatments, medications, and interventions based on clinical guidelines, patient-specific factors, and evidence-based practices.

Example: CDSS for medication management helps clinicians choose the most suitable medications, dosages, and treatment regimens while considering patient allergies, drug interactions, and comorbidities.

Clinical Workflow Optimization: CDSS optimizes clinical workflows, streamlines care processes, and enhances operational efficiency in healthcare delivery settings.

Example: CDSS for care coordination assists healthcare teams in scheduling appointments, coordinating referrals, and managing care transitions for patients with complex medical needs or chronic conditions.

Chronic Disease Management: CDSS supports the management of chronic diseases by monitoring patient health

metrics, tracking disease progression, and facilitating personalized care planning and self-management strategies.
Example: CDSS for diabetes management helps patients and healthcare providers monitor blood glucose levels, track medication adherence, and make lifestyle modifications to prevent complications and improve outcomes.
Preventive Care and Population Health: CDSS promotes preventive care initiatives, population health management, and disease prevention strategies by identifying high-risk individuals, recommending preventive screenings, and implementing targeted interventions.
Example: CDSS for preventive care sends reminders and alerts to patients and providers for upcoming preventive screenings (e.g., mammograms, vaccinations, cholesterol tests) based on age, gender, and risk factors.
Clinical Research and Decision Support: CDSS supports clinical research endeavors by analyzing large datasets, identifying research participants, and facilitating evidence-based decision-making in clinical trials and research studies.
Example: CDSS for clinical trials helps researchers identify eligible participants, monitor study protocols, and analyze trial data to assess treatment efficacy, safety, and outcomes.
Patient Education and Shared Decision-Making: CDSS facilitates patient education and shared decision-making by providing patients with personalized health information, treatment options, and decision support tools to actively participate in their care.
Example: CDSS for shared decision-making assists patients in understanding their treatment options, weighing the risks and benefits, and making informed decisions in collaboration with their healthcare providers.

REAL TIME TOOLS FOR CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM

Several real-time tools are available for clinical decision support systems (CDSS) that provide healthcare professionals with immediate guidance and recommendations at the point of care. Here are some examples:

	UpToDate	**VisualDx**	**IBM Watson for Oncology**	**Cerner Power Chart**	**Elsevier Clinical Key**	**BMJ Best Practice**
Purpose	Comprehensive clinical decision support tool providing evidence-based information across various medical specialties.	Diagnostic decision support tool specializing in dermatology and visual recognition of skin conditions.	AI-powered tool assisting oncologists in making treatment decisions for cancer patients.	Electronic health record (HER) system with built-in clinical decision support functionalities.	Clinical reference tool offering access to medical textbooks, journals, guidelines, and multimedia resources.	Clinical decision support tool providing evidence-based guidance for diagnosis, treatment, and management of medical conditions.
Content	In-depth articles, reviews, guidelines, and recommendations across various medical	Database of medical images depicting skin conditions, dermatologic manifestations, and differential	AI-generated treatment recommendations for cancer based on patient data and medical evidence.	Integration with HER data, clinical guidelines, order sets, and documentation tools.	Access to medical textbooks, journals, guidelines, and multimedia resources from	Evidence-based guidance, clinical guidelines, treatment algorithms, and patient education

	specialties.	diagnoses.			Elsevier.	materials.
Scope	Broad range of medical specialties, suitable for healthcare professionals across various disciplines.	Dermatology and dermatopathology, primarily used by dermatologists, primary care physicians, and other clinicians.	Oncology, specifically assisting oncologists in treatment decision-making for cancer patients.	Integration with HER systems for clinical documentation, order entry, and decision support.	Comprehensive medical content covering various specialties and disciplines.	Evidence-based guidance across medical specialties, suitable for healthcare 7professional.
Features	Drug information, calculators, patient education, clinical calculators, CME credits.	Visual diagnostic aid, differential diagnoses, treatment options, clinical pearls.	AI-generated treatment recommendations, integration with HER systems.	Clinical decision support alerts, reminders, clinical pathways, order sets.	Search functionality, access to medical literature, guidelines, multimedia resources.	Evidence-based guidance, clinical algorithms, treatment recommendations, patient education.
Usability	User-friendly interface, easy navigation, search functionality.	Emphasis on visual interface, search based on visual criteria	Integration with existing clinical workflows, user-friendly interface.	Integration with HER systems, workflow alignment.	User-friendly interface, search functionality	User-friendly interface, easy access to evidence-based guidance.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF CDSS IMPLEMENTATION

While Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) offer numerous benefits, their implementation may face several challenges and limitations. Here are some potential issues:

Data Quality and Integration: CDSS effectiveness relies on accurate and comprehensive data. Integration with Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and other healthcare systems may be hindered by data silos, interoperability issues, and inconsistencies in data quality, leading to inaccurate or incomplete decision support output.

Alert Fatigue: CDSS may generate excessive alerts and reminders, leading to alert fatigue among healthcare providers. Overwhelming clinicians with irrelevant or redundant alerts can decrease their responsiveness to critical alerts and undermine the effectiveness of the system.

Clinical Workflow Disruption: Poorly designed CDSS interfaces or workflows can disrupt clinical workflows and impede provider productivity. Integration challenges, cumbersome user interfaces, and lack of interoperability with existing systems may hinder CDSS adoption and acceptance among healthcare providers.

Inadequate Evidence Base: Some CDSS recommendations may lack robust evidence or may not be aligned with clinical guidelines, leading to skepticism and mistrust among healthcare providers. The accuracy, reliability, and relevance of decision support output depend on the quality and currency of the underlying knowledge base and algorithms.

Limited Customization and Personalization: One-size-fits-all CDSS solutions may not adequately address the diverse needs and preferences of healthcare providers and patients. Limited customization options, rigid decision rules, and lack of personalization features may reduce user satisfaction and acceptance of the system.

Algorithm Bias and Clinical Variability: CDSS algorithms may exhibit bias or fail to account for clinical variability, leading to inaccurate or inequitable decision support recommendations. Factors such as patient demographics, comorbidities, and socioeconomic status may not be adequately considered, resulting in disparities in care delivery and outcomes.

Regulatory and Legal Considerations: CDSS implementation may raise regulatory and legal concerns related to patient privacy, data security, liability, and malpractice. Compliance with healthcare regulations, such as HIPAA, and adherence to ethical standards for data use and confidentiality are essential to ensure patient trust and mitigate legal risks.

Cost and Resource Constraints: CDSS implementation requires significant investment in technology infrastructure, software development, training, and ongoing maintenance. Limited financial resources, competing priorities, and organizational constraints may pose barriers to widespread adoption and sustainability of CDSS initiatives.

CONCLUSION

Overall, CDSS aims to enhance clinical decision-making, improve patient outcomes, reduce medical errors, and promote evidence-based practice by providing timely, relevant, and actionable information to healthcare professionals at the point of care. The diverse applications of CDSS across various healthcare domains highlight its versatility, utility, and potential to improve clinical decision-making, enhance patient care delivery, and advance healthcare quality and outcomes.

Declaration by Author

Ethical Approval: Not Applicable
Acknowledgement: None
Source of Funding: None
Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bates, D. W., & Gawande, A. A. (2003). "Improving Safety with Information Technology." New England Journal of Medicine, 348(25), 2526-2534.
2. Berner, E. S., & La Lande, T. J. (2005). Overview of clinical decision support systems. In Clinical decision support systems (pp. 3-22). Springer, New York, NY.
3. Garg, A. X., Adhikari, N. K., McDonald, H., Rosas-Arellano, M. P., Devereaux, P. J., Beyene, J., ... & Haynes, R. B. (2005). Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review. Jama, 293(10), 1223-1238
4. Kawamoto, K., Houlihan, C. A., Balas, E. A., & Lobach, D. F. (2005). Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: a systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success. BMJ, 330(7494), 765.
5. Shortliffe, E. H., & Cimino, J. J. (2006). Biomedical Informatics: Computer Applications in Health Care and Biomedicine. Springer.
6. Osheroff, J. A., Teich, J. M., Middleton, B., Steen, E. B., Wright, A., & Detmer, D. E. (2007). A roadmap for national action on clinical decision support. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 14(2), 141-145.
7. Elstein, A. S., Shulman, L. S., & Sprafka, S. A. (2008). Medical Problem Solving: An Analysis of Clinical Reasoning. Harvard University Press.
8. Roshanov, P. S., Misra, S., Gerstein, H. C., Garg, A. X., Sebaldt, R. J., Mackay, J. A., ... & Haynes, R. B. (2011). Computerized clinical decision support systems for chronic disease management: a decision-maker-researcher partnership systematic review. Implementation Science, 6(1), 92.
9. Sutton, R. T., & Pincock, D. (2011). "Clinical Decision Support Systems: State of the Art." Yearbook of Medical Informatics, 20(1), 9-18.
10. Bright, T. J., Wong, A., Dhurjati, R., Bristow, E., Bastian, L., Coeytaux, R. R., ... & Samsa, G. P. (2012). Effect of clinical decision-support systems: a systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 157(1), 29-43.
11. Greenes, R. A. (2014). Clinical Decision Support: The Road Ahead. Academic Press.
12. Jaspers, M. W. M., & Kuilman, L. (2015). "The Role of Clinical Decision Support

Systems in Improving Patient Safety." Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 58, 262-271.
13. Rosenbloom, S. T., & Miller, R. A. (2015). "Electronic Health Records and Clinical Decision Support: Where Are We Now?" Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 22(4), 725-733.
14. Prasad, M., & Reddy, K. (2016). Design and Development of a CDSS for the Management of Cardiovascular Diseases in India. Indian Journal of Medical Informatics, 10(2), 45-50.
15. McCoy, A. B., Wright, A., Sittig, D. F., & Crosson, J. C. (2017). Clinical decision support: Effectiveness in improving quality processes and clinical outcomes and factors that may influence success. Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine, 90(2), 187–200.
16. Kumar, A., & Sharma, R. (2017). Implementation of Clinical Decision Support Systems in Indian Hospitals: A Study on User Acceptance. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 103, 58-64.
17. Kawamoto, K., Hongsermeier, T., Wright, A., & Lewis, J. (2018). Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: A systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success. BMJ Quality & Safety, 27(9), 655–663.
18. Palanisamy, S., & Thirunavukarasu, R. (2019). Implementing Clinical Decision Support System in Indian Healthcare: Challenges and Opportunities. Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development, 10(5), 140-145.
19. O'Connor, P. J., Sperl-Hillen, J. M., & Johnson, P. E. (2019). Clinical Decision Support and its Effects on Diabetes Care: A Literature Review. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics, 48(3), 479–494.
20. Triantafyllidis, A. K., Velardo, C., Salvi, D., Shah, S. A., Koutkias, V. G., Tarassenko, L. & Rahimi, K. (2019). A survey of mobile phone sensing, self-reporting, and social sharing for pervasive healthcare. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 23(1), 3–17.
21. Nair, A., & John, D. (2019). Leveraging Clinical Decision Support Systems to Enhance Primary Healthcare in India: A Review. Indian Journal of Public Health, 63(4), 331-336.
22. Pantaleão, S. G., Gonçalves, F. G., & Oliveira, J. L. (2020). Clinical decision support systems in prenatal and perinatal care: A systematic review. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 110, 103576.
23. Heslop, D. J., Shah, S., Karunanithi, M., & Maeder, A. (2021). Clinical decision support systems in healthcare: A systematic review of health consumers' views. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 28(1), 234–241.

How to cite this article: Harjeet Singh. Optimizing patient care: exploring the impact of clinical decision support systems in healthcare. *Gal Int J Health Sci Res*. 2025; 10(2): 1-9. *DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/gijhsr.20250201*

******