Galore International Journal of Health Sciences and Research
[Indexed, Peer-Reviewed Journal]
Vol. 11; Issue: 2; April-June 2026
Website: www.gijhsr.com
Review Article *P-ISSN: 2456-9321*

Designing and Calibration of Physiotherapy Modalities: A Narrative Review (2020-2025)

Nasrin Bharti[1], Shikha Singh[2*]

[1]Jyotirao Phule Subharti College of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut-250005, Uttar Pradesh, India;
[2]Department of Neurological Physiotherapy, Jyotirao Phule Subharti College of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied Health Science, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut-250005, Uttar Pradesh, India;

Corresponding Author: Prof (Dr.) Shikha Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52403/gijhsr.20260209

ABSTRACT

Background: The landscape of physiotherapy modalities has undergone significant transformation over the past five years, driven by technological advances, improved understanding of biomechanical principles, and the need for more precise and personalized treatment approaches. This narrative review examines current research on the design principles, calibration methodologies, and technological innovations in physiotherapy equipment from 2020-2025.

Methods: A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple databases including SciSpace, PubMed, ArXiv, and Google Scholar to identify peer-reviewed papers published between 2020-2025 focusing on physiotherapy modality design and calibration. Search terms included "physiotherapy modalities," "calibration," "design," "rehabilitation equipment," and "therapy devices."

Results: The search identified 142 relevant papers across all databases. Key findings demonstrate a shift toward wearable sensors, soft robotics, multifunction devices, and sensor-enabled tele-rehabilitation. Calibration approaches focus primarily on IMU/magnetometer routines and sensor fusion technologies.

Conclusions: Recent developments emphasize portability, personalization, and remote monitoring capabilities. However, formal regulatory frameworks and standardized calibration protocols remain underreported in current literature, highlighting areas for future development.

Keywords: physiotherapy, modalities, calibration, design, rehabilitation, wearable technology, sensors

1. INTRODUCTION

Physiotherapy modalities encompass a diverse range of therapeutic interventions and devices designed to restore function, reduce pain, and improve quality of life for patients with various musculoskeletal, neurological, and cardiovascular conditions [1]. The period from 2020-2025 has witnessed unprecedented innovation in this field, driven by several converging factors including the COVID-19 pandemic's emphasis on remote care, advances in sensor technology, artificial intelligence integration, and growing emphasis on evidence-based practice [2].

The design and calibration of physiotherapy modalities represent critical aspects that directly influence treatment efficacy, patient safety, and clinical outcomes. Design considerations encompass ergonomics, portability, user interface, therapeutic

mechanisms, and integration with existing clinical workflows. Calibration ensures accuracy, reliability, and consistency of therapeutic interventions across different settings and patient populations.

This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on the design and calibration of physiotherapy modalities published between 2020-2025, providing insights into emerging trends, technological innovations, and future directions in the field.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across four major databases:

- SciSpace: 100 papers identified using terms "designing calibration physiotherapy modalities equipment rehabilitation therapy devices"
- PubMed: 18 papers using basic search terms "physiotherapy modalities calibration design rehabilitation equipment therapy devices"
- Google Scholar: 20 papers using Boolean search strategy
- ArXiv: 4 technical papers focusing on physiotherapy device development

2.2 Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed research articles published between January 2020 and September 2025
- Studies focusing on physiotherapy equipment design and development
- Papers discussing calibration methodologies for therapeutic devices
- Technology development research relevant to physiotherapy practice
- Clinical outcome studies evaluating newly designed modalities

2.3 Exclusion Criteria

- Non-English publications
- Conference abstracts without full-text availability
- Studies focusing solely on clinical protocols without device development
- Reviews without original research data

2.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

- Data were extracted focusing on design principles, calibration methods, technological innovations, clinical outcomes, and future directions. Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring patterns and emerging trends across the literature.

3. Current Trends in Physiotherapy Modality Design

3.1 Wearable and Portable Technologies

- The most prominent trend in recent physiotherapy modality design is the shift toward wearable and portable devices. Cappelle et al. (2020) described the development of low-complexity wireless motion sensor nodes designed to support physiotherapy through remote monitoring [1]. These devices feature:
- Lightweight wireless IMU nodes with on-device sensor fusion
- Extended battery life enabling continuous monitoring
- Quantified static accuracy with mean orientation error ≈3.28°
- Drift characteristics of ≈2° per 30 minutes after calibration
- This trend reflects the growing demand for home-based rehabilitation solutions, particularly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on healthcare delivery.

3.2 Soft Robotics and Pneumatic Systems

- Huang et al. (2025) introduced innovative pneumatic soft physiotherapy devices for shoulder and neck acupoint massage, representing a significant advancement in soft robotics applications [2]. Key design features include:
- Reduced weight compared to rigid robotic systems
- Improved conformability to body contours
- Enhanced patient comfort during treatment
- Precise pressure control for therapeutic massage
- These developments address traditional limitations of rigid rehabilitation robotics, offering more natural and comfortable patient interactions.

3.3 Multifunction and Integrated Devices

- Recent literature demonstrates a clear trend toward multifunction devices that consolidate multiple therapeutic modalities into single units. Novel multifunction devices for conditions such as frozen shoulder deliver combined therapies including:
- Sequential heat and cold therapy
- Controlled motion and traction
- Cyclic therapeutic protocols
- Reduced device footprint and cost-effectiveness
- This approach addresses practical challenges in clinical settings where space and cost constraints limit the availability of multiple specialized devices [3, 4].

3.4 Energy-Based Therapeutic Modalities

- Significant innovation has occurred in energy-based physiotherapy modalities, particularly in electrical stimulation and radiofrequency applications. Xie et al. (2023) reported advances in materials and devices for tumor-directed electric stimulation [4], while studies on 448-kHz capacitive resistive monopolar radiofrequency demonstrated effectiveness for subcutaneous applications [5].

3.5 Gamification and Engagement Technologies

- The integration of play-based controllers and virtual reality interfaces represents an emerging trend aimed at improving patient engagement and adherence. Crisco et al. [6] developed novel joint-specific play controllers for wrist and forearm therapy, while Padilla-Castañeda et al. [7] described orthopaedic robotic-assisted rehabilitation methods using virtual reality physiotherapy environments.

4. Calibration Standards and Protocols

4.1 Sensor Calibration and Validation

- Current calibration approaches in physiotherapy modalities focus heavily on inertial measurement unit (IMU) and magnetometer calibration routines. The literature reveals several key calibration strategies:

4.1.1 IMU Calibration Protocols

- Magnetometer calibration routines to minimize environmental interference
- Gradient-descent orientation filters for real-time correction
- On-device sensor fusion algorithms to improve accuracy
- Static orientation error quantification and correction procedures [1]

4.1.2 Kinematic Validation Methods

- Device kinematic evaluation employs comparison methodologies including:
- Reference motion comparison using robotic arm systems
- Clinical goniometry validation protocols
- Error-estimation strategies for pose tracking accuracy
- Joint angle and range-of-motion verification procedures [6]

4.2 System-Level Verification

- Multifunction therapy devices and robotic systems implement comprehensive validation protocols including:
- Usability and acceptability testing with clinical populations
- Pilot physiological measurements (electromyography, performance scores)
- Safety verification protocols before larger clinical trials
- Device validation against established clinical benchmarks [5, 7]

4.3 Regulatory and Formal Standards Gap

- A significant finding of this review is the limited reporting of formal regulatory calibration standards in the current literature. The analyzed papers describe specific calibration routines and validation metrics but rarely reference applicable regulatory frameworks such as:
- IEC/ISO standards for medical devices
- FDA guidance documents for physiotherapy equipment
- CE marking requirements for therapeutic devices

- National standards for rehabilitation equipment calibration
- This represents a critical gap in the literature that requires attention for clinical translation and regulatory approval of new modalities [8].

5. Design Challenges and Clinical Impact

5.1 Technical Challenges

- The literature identifies several recurring technical challenges in physiotherapy modality design:

5.1.1 Sensor Accuracy and Drift [1]

- Long-term sensor stability in clinical environments
- Temperature and humidity effects on calibration
- Motion artifact reduction in wearable devices
- Real-time processing requirements for feedback systems

5.1.2 Power Management

- Battery life optimization for portable devices
- Wireless communication power consumption
- Sleep/wake protocols for extended monitoring
- Energy harvesting potential for self-powered devices

5.1.3 User Interface Design

- Intuitive controls for diverse patient populations
- Accessibility considerations for elderly and disabled users
- Clinical workflow integration requirements
- Training and adoption barriers for healthcare providers

5.2 Clinical Implementation Barriers

- Several studies highlight challenges in translating innovative designs to clinical practice:
- Cost-effectiveness considerations limiting adoption
- Training requirements for clinical staff
- Integration with existing electronic health records
- Regulatory approval timelines and requirements
- Evidence generation for reimbursement decisions

5.3 Patient-Centered Design Considerations [2, 7]

- Recent literature emphasizes the importance of patient-centered design principles:
- Comfort and wearability for extended use
- Cultural and demographic considerations
- Individual customization capabilities
- Adherence and motivation factors
- Safety considerations for unsupervised use

6. Emerging Technologies and Innovations

6.1 Artificial Intelligence Integration [1, 7]

- While the current literature shows limited detailed reporting of AI applications, several emerging trends are evident:
- On-device sensor fusion using machine learning algorithms
- Adaptive training protocols based on performance metrics
- Personalized therapy progression algorithms
- Predictive analytics for treatment outcomes

6.2 Internet of Things (IoT) Applications [1]

- IoT integration represents a significant growth area in physiotherapy modality design:
- Wireless sensor networks for multi-point monitoring
- Cloud-based data storage and analysis platforms
- Remote monitoring and tele-rehabilitation capabilities
- Real-time clinical decision support systems

6.3 Advanced Materials and Manufacturing [2, 3]

- Innovation in materials science is driving new possibilities in device design:
- Soft, biocompatible materials for wearable devices
- 3D printing for customized therapeutic tools
- Smart materials with responsive properties

- Nanotechnology applications in sensor development

6.4 Virtual and Augmented Reality [7]

- VR/AR technologies are increasingly integrated into physiotherapy modalities:
- Immersive rehabilitation environments
- Real-time motion tracking and feedback
- Gamified therapeutic exercises
- Objective performance measurement capabilities

7. Quality Assessment and Validation

7.1 Clinical Validation Approaches

- The literature reveals diverse approaches to clinical validation of new physiotherapy modalities:

7.1.1 Pilot and Feasibility Studies

- Small-scale usability testing with clinical populations
- Acceptability and satisfaction measurements
- Preliminary safety and efficacy assessments
- Proof-of-concept demonstrations [5, 7]

7.1.2 Comparative Effectiveness Studies

- Comparison with standard care protocols
- Head-to-head device comparisons
- Cost-effectiveness analyses
- Long-term outcome assessments

7.2 Technical Validation Metrics [1, 6]

- Key technical validation metrics identified in the literature include:
- Accuracy and precision measurements
- Reliability and repeatability testing
- Environmental robustness assessment
- Electromagnetic compatibility verification
- Biocompatibility and safety testing

7.3 Clinical Outcome Measures [5, 7]

- Standardized outcome measures used in physiotherapy modality validation include:
- Range of motion measurements
- Pain scales (VAS, NRS)
- Functional assessment tools
- Quality of life questionnaires
- Patient satisfaction scores
- Adherence and compliance metrics

8. Future Directions [9, 10]

8.1 Personalized Medicine Integration

- The future of physiotherapy modality design is moving toward personalized approaches:
- Individual biomechanical profiling
- Genetic factors influencing treatment response
- Customized device parameters based on patient characteristics
- Precision medicine applications in rehabilitation

8.2 Advanced Sensing Technologies

- Emerging sensing technologies will enable new capabilities:
- Multi-modal sensing integration
- Continuous physiological monitoring
- Non-invasive biomarker detection
- Real-time tissue property assessment

8.3 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

- AI/ML applications are expected to expand significantly:
- Predictive models for treatment outcomes
- Automated therapy adjustment algorithms
- Pattern recognition for movement analysis
- Clinical decision support systems

8.4 Regulatory Framework Development

- The need for comprehensive regulatory frameworks is becoming increasingly apparent:
- Standardized calibration protocols
- Safety and efficacy guidelines
- Interoperability standards
- Quality management systems for digital health devices

8.5 Global Health Applications

- Future developments will likely address global health challenges:
- Low-cost, scalable solutions for resource-limited settings
- Culturally appropriate design considerations
- Training and support systems for diverse healthcare environments
- Sustainable manufacturing and maintenance approaches

9. Limitations

- This narrative review has several limitations that should be acknowledged:

9.1 Search Strategy Limitations

- Limited to English-language publications
- Potential bias toward certain databases and journals
- Time-limited search period may miss very recent developments
- Grey literature and conference proceedings not systematically included

9.2 Data Extraction Limitations

- Heterogeneity in study designs and reporting standards
- Limited quantitative synthesis due to diverse outcome measures
- Potential selection bias in included studies
- Varying quality of evidence across different technologies

9.3 Scope Limitations

- Focus on design and calibration may miss other important aspects
- Limited coverage of health economic evaluations
- Insufficient representation of patient perspective studies
- Geographic bias toward certain regions and healthcare systems

10. CONCLUSIONS

This narrative review reveals significant innovation and progress in the design and calibration of physiotherapy modalities from 2020-2025.

Critical Gaps

- Regulatory Standards: Limited reporting of formal regulatory frameworks and standardized calibration protocols
- Long-term Validation: Insufficient evidence on long-term effectiveness and safety of new modalities
- Cost-effectiveness: Limited health economic evaluations of innovative technologies

Future Priorities

- Development of standardized regulatory frameworks for physiotherapy device calibration
- Expansion of AI and machine learning applications for personalized therapy
- Integration of advanced sensing technologies for comprehensive patient monitoring
- Focus on global health applications and accessibility considerations

The field of physiotherapy modality design and calibration is experiencing rapid evolution, driven by technological advances and changing healthcare delivery models. While significant progress has been made in device innovation and technical capabilities, attention must be given to regulatory framework development, standardized validation protocols, and comprehensive clinical evidence generation to ensure safe and effective translation of these innovations into clinical practice.

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of remote and digital health solutions, creating both opportunities and challenges for physiotherapy modality development. Future research should focus on addressing current gaps while building on the substantial technological advances demonstrated in recent literature.

Declaration by Authors

Ethical Approval: Not applicable

Acknowledgement: None

Source of Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

REFERENCES

1. Cappelle, J., Monteyne, L., Mulders, J. V., Goossens, S., Vergauwen, M., & Perre, L. V. (2020). Low-Complexity Design and Validation of Wireless Motion Sensor Node to Support Physiotherapy. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, *20*(21), 6362. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20216362
2. Huang, Z., Yu, C., Lu, J., Huang, P., & Wang, L. (2025). Wearable pneumatic soft physiotherapy device for shoulder and neck AcuPoint massage. *Advanced Intelligent*

Systems, *7*(9). https://doi.org/10.1002/aisy.202500365

3. Liu, X., Yang, G., Xie, W., Lu, W., Liu, G., Xiao, W., & Li, Y. (2024). Research trends and emerging treatment in frozen shoulder research: A bibliometric analysis and meta-analysis. *Asian journal of surgery*, S1015-9584(24)02421-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2024.10.148
4. Xie, S., Huang, J., Pereira, A. T., Xu, L., Luo, D., & Li, Z. (2023). Emerging trends in materials and devices-based electric stimulation therapy for tumors. *BMEMat*, *1*(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/bmm2.12038
5. Kwon, T., Lee, S., Kim, J. H., Jeon, Y. J., Jang, Y. N., Yoo, K. H., & Kim, B. J. (2019). The effectiveness of 448-kHz capacitive resistive monopolar radiofrequency for subcutaneous fat reduction in a porcine model. *Medical Lasers*, *8*(2), 64–73. https://doi.org/10.25289/ml.2019.8.2.64
6. Crisco, J. J., Schwartz, J. B., Wilcox, M. M., Costa, D. J., & Kerman, H. M. (2015). Design and Kinematic Evaluation of a Novel Joint-Specific Play Controller: Application for Wrist and Forearm Therapy. Physical Therapy, 95(11), 1549-1558. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20140344
7. Padilla-Castañeda, M. A., Sotgiu, E., Barsotti, M., Frisoli, A., Orsini, P., Martiradonna, A., Laddaga, M., & Bergamasco, M. (2018). An Orthopaedic Robotic-Assisted Rehabilitation Method of the Forearm in Virtual Reality Physiotherapy. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2018, 7438609. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7438609
8. Cardoso, L., Khadka, N., Dmochowski, J. P., Meneses, E., Lee, K., Kim, S., Jin, Y., & Bikson, M. (2022). Computational modeling of posteroanterior lumbar traction by an automated massage bed: predicting intervertebral disc stresses and deformation. *Frontiers in rehabilitation sciences*, *3*, 931274. https://doi.org/10.3389/fresc.2022.931274
9. Dunphy, E., Hamilton, F. L., Button, K., & Murray, E. (2020). A scoping review of the resources needed to deliver anterior cruciate ligament physiotherapy rehabilitation in randomised controlled trials. *Physical Therapy Reviews*, *25*(2), 81–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/10833196.2020.1762521
10. Lingampally, P. K., Ramanathan, K. C., Shanmugam, R., Cepova, L., & Salunkhe, S. (2024). Wearable Assistive Rehabilitation Robotic Devices—A Comprehensive Review. *Machines*, *12*(6), 415. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines12060415

How to cite this article: Nasrin Bharti, Shikha Singh. Designing and calibration of physiotherapy modalities: a narrative review (2020-2025). *Gal Int J Health Sci Res*. 2026; 11(2): 76-82. *DOI: 10.52403/gijhsr.20260209*

******